

In PRO PER, Plaintiff Mr. Michael #1
 Decarlo Wright. 220 Golden Gate Ave.
 Name and Address MICHAEL- San Francisco
 DECARLO WRIGHT. California. 94102.
 220 GOLDEN GATE AVE. # apartment Unit
 APT. 818. SF. CA. 94102. # 818.

FILED

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

APR - 7 2017

SUSAN Y. SOONG
 CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT
 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Michael, Decarlo -
 Wright, 1 TO 500

John and JANE DOE,
 ALL OF US TND C -
 TENANTS -

Case No. 17 1955

COMPLAINT

Plaintiff/Petitioner S.
 NOTE: ALL NAMED LATER DATE.

VS.

TND C, DON FALK, LIZ ORLIN,
 CYNTHIA ALVAREZ, BRANDON
 FLANNARY, F. WRIGHT, SAL
 RUSSO, DAVID GUSTATSON,

STEVE Defendant/Respondent (LORD)

GREGORY PATRICI TAGUNDES,

SARA CAMERO, JA EUN HUM,

CLAIR NEFFED HUSBAND,

MAGGIE ROBINSON, JASON

DAVIS, TOSTADO M, MAYOR OF

ST. EDWIN LEE (HOPE) PROGRAM,

BEVAN DUFTY, DEPT. OF HOME-

LESS + SUPPORTIVE SERVICES

JEFF KOSITSKY, SAM DODGE

CITY + COUNTY OF SF, DEPT. OF

PUBLIC HEALTH ELYSE MILLER

MANJOT (MANU) MULTANI,

MARGOT D. ANTONETTY, V.

BROWN, JIMMY BRISCO -

BRENDA WHITE, FAY G. -

DE GUZMAN (DPH), KENETTA

GILL OF TND C KCC FRONT -

DEST CLERK, DEPT. OF PUBLIC

HEALTH APPEALS + GRIEVANCE

PROCESS + CITY OF SF. HUMAN

RIGHTS COMMISSION BOTH

WHO SIT ON MY COMPLAINTS

FOR YEARS HERO PEST CONTROL

CO. ALL ADDRESSES OF DEFENDANTS

TO BE FILED AT LATER DATE 1 TO -

500 JOHN + JANE DOE DEFENDANTS.

Document Name: FEDERAL +
 STATE LAW VIOLATIONS,
 CONSTITUTIONAL LAW +
 FEDERAL CRIMINAL LAW.
 BREACH OF CONTRACT TITLE
 42 USCS § 1981, 1983, 1985,
 1986 + 1988, THE AMERICAN
 DISABILITY ACT, TITLE 18
 USCS § 241, 242, 245 +
 246, THE RICO ACT, CIVIL
 RIGHTS ACT OF 1964, +
 PERSONAL INJURY LAW.
 INTENTIONAL INFLEC-
 TION OF EMOTIONAL DIS-
 STRESS, FRAUD, LAND -
 LORD + TENANT LAW IM-
 PLIED WARRANTY BREACH
 OF THIS ADDITIONAL -
 CONTRACT TOO! + CLASS
 ACTION, NEGLIGENCE -
 DECLARATORY + INJUNC-
 TIVE RELIEF [28 USCA § §
 1332, 1332] (NEW) DEPRI-
 VATION OF CONSTITUTIONAL
 RIGHTS RESIDENTIAL HARASS-
 MENT 42 USCS § 1981, 1982 +
 1983 + 3601 ET. SEQ (NEW), FAIR
 HOUSING ACT 42 USCS § §
 3601 PREMISES LIABIL-
 ITY, 14TH AMENDMENT
 RESPONDANT SUPERIOR,
 EMPLOYER LIABILITY,

MICHAEL DECARLO WRIGHT, 220 GOLDEN GATE AVE. APT. # 818, SAN FRANCISCO - CALIFORNIA. 94102.

TELEPHONE NO:

FAX NO. (Optional):

E-MAIL ADDRESS (Optional):

ATTORNEY FOR (Name):

NONE.

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SF. TO BE HEARD IN
STREET ADDRESS: THE UNITED STATES FEDERAL DISTRICT COURT, NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, THE GOLDEN GATE AVE FEDERAL BUILDING SF. CA.
MAILING ADDRESS:
CITY AND ZIP CODE:
BRANCH NAME:

PLAINTIFF: MICHAEL DECARLO WRIGHT AND 1 TO 500 TENANT JANE + JOHN DOE PLAINTIFFS.
DEFENDANT: TNDC CITY + COUNTY OF SF, MAYOR ED LEE, JEFF KOSISKY, S. DOOGUE, SF HEALTH DEPT. HERO
☒ DOES 1 TO 500 PEST CONTROL COMPANY.

COMPLAINT—Personal Injury, Property Damage, Wrongful Death

☐ AMENDED (Number): AMERICAN DISABILITY

Type (check all that apply):

☐ MOTOR VEHICLE ☒ OTHER (specify): ACT, PLEASE SEE ATTACHED PAGES IN DETAILS.
☐ Property Damage ☐ Wrongful Death
☒ Personal Injury ☒ Other Damages (specify): MENTAL PAIN + SUFFERING.

Jurisdiction (check all that apply):

☐ ACTION IS A LIMITED CIVIL CASEAmount demanded ☐ does not exceed \$10,000☐ exceeds \$10,000, but does not exceed \$25,000☒ ACTION IS AN UNLIMITED CIVIL CASE (exceeds \$25,000)☐ ACTION IS RECLASSIFIED by this amended complaint☐ from limited to unlimited☐ from unlimited to limited

CASE NUMBER:

1. Plaintiff (name or names): MICHAEL DECARLO WRIGHT, 1 TO 500 JOHN + JANE DOE
alleges causes of action against defendant (name or names): TENANT PLAINTIFFS. VS. TNDC, MAYOR ED LEE SUPPORTIVE HOUSING PROGRAM, DEPT. PUBLIC HEALTH, CITY OF SF.
2. This pleading, including attachments and exhibits, consists of the following number of pages: HERO PEST CONTROL CO
3. Each plaintiff named above is a competent adult AT THE PRESENT, OR ABOUT 300 PAGES, BUT MORE PAGES + EXHIBITS TO
TO BE FILED AT LATE DATE.

a. ☐ except plaintiff (name):(1) ☐ a corporation qualified to do business in California(2) ☐ an unincorporated entity (describe):(3) ☐ a public entity (describe):(4) ☐ a minor ☐ an adult(a) ☐ for whom a guardian or conservator of the estate or a guardian ad litem has been appointed(b) ☐ other (specify):(5) ☐ other (specify):b. ☐ except plaintiff (name):(1) ☐ a corporation qualified to do business in California(2) ☐ an unincorporated entity (describe):(3) ☐ a public entity (describe):(4) ☐ a minor ☐ an adult(a) ☐ for whom a guardian or conservator of the estate or a guardian ad litem has been appointed(b) ☐ other (specify):(5) ☒ other (specify): NOTE: ALL PLAINTIFFS ARE TENANT WHO LIVE OR

ONCE LIVED IN A 172 UNIT APT. BUILDING, OWNED BY DEFENDANT

☐ Information about additional plaintiffs who are not competent adults is shown in Attachment 3. TNDC.

SHORT TITLE:

WRIGHT VS. TNDC CITY ST. DEPT. PUBLIC HEALTH/ HERO PEST CO- TROL CO! 1 TO 500 AL OF YOU DEFENDANTS.

CASE NUMBER:

4. ☐ Plaintiff (name):

is doing business under the fictitious name (specify):

and has complied with the fictitious business name laws.

5. Each defendant named above is a natural person

a. ☒ except defendant (name): TNDC(1) ☐ a business organization, form unknown(2) ☒ a corporation(3) ☐ an unincorporated entity (describe):(4) ☐ a public entity (describe):(5) ☐ other (specify):c. ☒ except defendant (name):(1) ☐ a business organization, form unknown(2) ☐ a corporation(3) ☒ an unincorporated entity (describe):DEPT. OF PUBLIC HEALTH OF ST.(4) ☒ a public entity (describe):SAME AS ABOVE.(5) ☒ other (specify): ALL DEFENDANTSARE ON THE PAYROLL OF THE CITY + COUNTY OF ST. ATTENTION: MAYOR ED LEEd. ☐ except defendant (name):(1) ☐ a business organization, form unknown(2) ☐ a corporation(3) ☐ an unincorporated entity (describe):(4) ☐ a public entity (describe):(5) ☐ other (specify):b. ☐ except defendant (name): HERO PEST CONTROL CO.(1) ☒ a business organization, form unknown(2) ☐ a corporation(3) ☐ an unincorporated entity (describe):(4) ☐ a public entity (describe):(5) ☒ other (specify): A PERSONAL FAMILY RUN BUSSINESS, THAT CONTRACTOR.☒ Information about additional defendants who are not natural persons is contained in Attachment 5.

6. The true names of defendants sued as Does are unknown to plaintiff.

a. ☒ Doe defendants (specify Doe numbers): ON OR ABOUT 10 were the agents or employees of other named defendants and acted within the scope of that agency or employment.b. ☐ Doe defendants (specify Doe numbers): _____ are persons whose capacities are unknown to plaintiff.7. ☒ Defendants who are joined under Code of Civil Procedure section 382 are (names): ALL THE NAMES OF THE DEFENDANT ARE LISTED ON THE FRONT PAGE OF THE COMPLAINT, AND ADDRESSED AND NEW NAMED DEFENDANT WILL BE RESPECTFULLY FILED AT A LATER VERY SOON DATE.

8. This court is the proper court because

a. ☒ at least one defendant now resides in its jurisdictional area.b. ☒ the principal place of business of a defendant corporation or unincorporated association is in its jurisdictional area.c. ☐ injury to person or damage to personal property occurred in its jurisdictional area.d. ☒ other (specify): all of my violation (S) of my protectio/RIGHTS are located here in the city + county, of San Francisco + by the same response all contract's where agreed to + SIGNE by the Plaintiff + Defendants in S.F. CA.9. ☒ Plaintiff is required to comply with a claims statute, anda. ☒ has complied with applicable claims statutes, orb. ☐ is excused from complying because (specify):

SHORT TITLE:

INDC CITY ST. DEPT. PUBLIC
 WRIGHT V. HEALTH HERO PEST CONTROL CO
 170 500 JANE + JOHN DOE DETS.
 ALL OF YOU.

CASE NUMBER:

10. The following causes of action are attached and the statements above apply to each (each complaint must have one or more causes of action attached):

- a. ☐ Motor Vehicle
 b. ☒ General Negligence
 c. ☒ Intentional Tort
 d. ☐ Products Liability
 e. ☒ Premises Liability
 f. ☒ Other (specify):

THE AMERICAN DISABILITY ACT BECAUSE OF THE
 INTENTIONAL INFLECTION OF EMOTIONAL DISTRESS THAT
 WAS INFLECTED ON ALL THE PLAINTIFFS BY THE DEFENDANTS.

11. Plaintiff has suffered

- a. ☐ wage loss
 b. ☐ loss of use of property
 c. ☒ hospital and medical expenses
 d. ☒ general damage
 e. ☐ property damage
 f. ☐ loss of earning capacity
 g. ☒ other damage (specify):

PAIN + SUFFERING MENTAL STRESS, LOSS OF
 SLEEP, STRESSORS OF BEING YELLED AT THREAT OF TERROR
 THREATS OF BODY HARM + CALLED: "THAT FUCKING NIGGER
 MICHAEL WRIGHT! YOU FUCKING NIGGER! THE FILING

12. ☐ The damages claimed for wrongful death and the relationships of plaintiff to the deceased are
 a. ☐ listed in Attachment 12.
 b. ☐ as follows:

OF POLICE RE-
 PORTS, RESTRAI-
 NING ORDERS
 COURT FILINGS
 + APPEARANCES,
 ETC, ETC. ALL-
 has caused a
 Poisonous hands
 on demonstrative
 experiences.

13. The relief sought in this complaint is within the jurisdiction of this court. on the FACTS +
 GROUNDS THAT: "ALL OF THE STATE + FEDERAL VIOLATION
 TOOK PLACE IN THE CITY + COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO +
 JUST TWO BLOCKS AWAY FROM THE FEDERAL CT. HOUSE.

14. Plaintiff prays for judgment for costs of suit; for such relief as is fair, just, and equitable; and for
 a. (1) ☒ compensatory damages
 (2) ☒ punitive damages

The amount of damages is (in cases for personal injury or wrongful death, you must check (1)):

- (1) ☒ according to proof + SERIOUS + WILFUL MISCONDUCT + BEING RECKLESS
 (2) ☐ in the amount of: \$ 2.5 MILLION + TOTAL DISREGARD FOR THE INTREST OF

15. ☒ The paragraphs of this complaint alleged on information and belief are as follows (specify paragraph numbers):
 PER CHARGE OF COMPLAINT MYSELF MICHAEL D. WRIGHT + THE REST
 OF THE
 THE ATTACHED MR. WRIGHT'S EXHIBIT VOLUME # 1, 2, 3 AND
 LATER + SOON TO BE FILED AND FURTHER ATTACHED MR. -
 WRIGHT'S EXHIBIT VOLUMES # 4, 5 + 6 ALONG WITH
 ADDITIONAL VIOLATING FACTS WILL FURTHER PROVE
 MY LAW SUIT COMPLAINT. Sworn + Subscribed to
 This 6TH Day of April 2017 By: Michael D. Wright
 PLAINTIFF'S
 PROTECTED
 RIGHTS.

(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)

(SIGNATURE OF PLAINTIFF OR ATTORNEY)

LD-PI-001 [Rev. January 1, 2007]

MICHAEL DECARLO
 WRIGHT.

COMPLAINT—Personal Injury, Property
 Damage, Wrongful Death

Page 3 of 3

PLD-C-001

ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY (Name, State Bar number, and address): Mr. Michael Decarlo Wright, 220 Golden Gate Ave. Apt. # 818, San Francisco, CA. 94102. (MICHAEL DECARLO WRIGHT.) TELEPHONE NO. _____ FAX NO. (Optional): _____ E-MAIL ADDRESS (Optional): _____ ATTORNEY FOR (Name): _____		FOR COURT USE ONLY
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SF. TO BE HEARD STREET ADDRESS: IN FEDERAL DISTRICT COURT MAILING ADDRESS: NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CAL. CITY AND ZIP CODE: 450 GOLDEN GATE AVE SF. CA 94102. BRANCH NAME: _____		
PLAINTIFF: MICHAEL DECARLO WRIGHT. DEFENDANT: TNDC, MAYOR LEE, BEVEN DUFTY, DON FALK, SAM DODGE, JEFF KOSITSKY <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> DOES 1 TO 500 ALL OF YOU IN MANAGEMENT, JOHN + JANE DOE CONTRACT DEFENDANTS. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> COMPLAINT <input type="checkbox"/> AMENDED COMPLAINT (Number): _____ <input type="checkbox"/> CROSS-COMPLAINT <input type="checkbox"/> AMENDED CROSS-COMPLAINT (Number): _____		
Jurisdiction (check all that apply): <input type="checkbox"/> ACTION IS A LIMITED CIVIL CASE Amount demanded <input type="checkbox"/> does not exceed \$10,000 <input type="checkbox"/> exceeds \$10,000 but does not exceed \$25,000 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ACTION IS AN UNLIMITED CIVIL CASE (exceeds \$25,000) <input type="checkbox"/> ACTION IS RECLASSIFIED by this amended complaint or cross-complaint <input type="checkbox"/> from limited to unlimited <input type="checkbox"/> from unlimited to limited		CASE NUMBER: _____

1. Plaintiff* (name or names):

Mr. Michael Decarlo Wright (MICHAEL D. WRIGHT.)
 alleges causes of action against defendant* (name or names):

DON FALK, BEVEN DUFTY, ED LEE, LIZ ORLIN, SAL RUSSO,

2. This pleading, including attachments and exhibits, consists of the following number of pages:

3. a. Each plaintiff named above is a competent adult

☐ except plaintiff (name):(1) ☒ a corporation qualified to do business in California(2) ☐ an unincorporated entity (describe):(3) ☒ other (specify):

SEVERAL MILLION L PATRICK, SARO CAMERO + CASH MONEY NON PROFIT LOW INCOME CITY OF SF. DEPT. OF PUBLIC

b. ☒ Plaintiff (name):

HOUSING CORPORATION WITH INSURANCE. HEALTH,

a. ☒ has complied with the fictitious business name laws and is doing business under the fictitious name (specify):b. ☒ has complied with all licensing requirements as a licensed (specify):c. ☐ Information about additional plaintiffs who are not competent adults is shown in Attachment 3c.

4. a. Each defendant named above is a natural person

☐ except defendant (name):(1) ☐ a business organization, form unknown(2) ☐ a corporation(3) ☐ an unincorporated entity (describe):(4) ☐ a public entity (describe):(5) ☐ other (specify):☐ except defendant (name):(1) ☐ a business organization, form unknown(2) ☐ a corporation(3) ☐ an unincorporated entity (describe):(4) ☐ a public entity (describe):(5) ☐ other (specify):

MARGOT D. - ANTONETT Y, ELYSE MILLER, MANJOT - (MANU) MULTANI

JIMMY BRISCO, PATRICK GRE-

GORY FAGUNDES. ALL OF

JANE + JOHN DOE

DEF'S.

* If this form is used as a cross-complaint, plaintiff means cross-complainant and defendant means cross-defendant.

Page 1 of 2

PLD-C-001

SHORT TITLE:

CASE NUMBER:

WRIGHT V. DON FALK, TNDC MANAGEMENT, MAYORED LEE BEVEN D., TNDC

4. (Continued) STAFF ALL OF YOU LIST OF FRONT PAGE OF LAWSUIT.

b. The true names of defendants sued as Does are unknown to plaintiff.

- (1) ☐ Doe defendants (specify Doe numbers): _____ were the agents or employees of the named defendants and acted within the scope of that agency or employment.
- (2) ☒ Doe defendants (specify Doe numbers): ONLY SOME OF are persons whose capacities are unknown to plaintiff.

c. ☐ Information about additional defendants who are not natural persons is contained in Attachment 4c.

d. ☐ Defendants who are joined under Code of Civil Procedure section 382 are (names): ON THE FIRST

PAGE COVER OF THIS LAWSUIT COMPLAINT.

5. ☒ Plaintiff is required to comply with a claims statute, and

- a. ☒ has complied with applicable claims statutes, or
- b. ☐ is excused from complying because (specify):

6. ☐ This action is subject to ☐ Civil Code section 1812.10 ☐ Civil Code section 2984.4.

7. This court is the proper court because

- a. ☒ a defendant entered into the contract here.
- b. ☒ a defendant lived here when the contract was entered into.
- c. ☒ a defendant lives here now.
- d. ☒ the contract was to be performed here.
- e. ☒ a defendant is a corporation or unincorporated association and its principal place of business is here.
- f. ☒ real property that is the subject of this action is located here.
- g. ☒ other (specify): all of the VIOLATIONS took place in the 172 Unit

8. The following causes of action are attached and the statements above apply to each (each complaint must have one or more causes of action attached): ARE -

- ☒ Breach of Contract TOTAL DISREGARD FOR MY ENJOYMENT
- ☒ Common Counts OF LIVING IN THE BUILDING, I have been -
- ☒ Other (specify): called: "YOU FUCKING NIGGER! FUCK THAT

MICHAEL WRIGHT! I'LL KICK THAT NIGGER'S FUCKIN G-

9. ☒ Other allegations: ASS! STEVE LORD YELLING AT PLAINTIFF and

BLACK SKIN COLOR TENANTS SUCH AS COME OUT IN THE -

10. Plaintiff prays for judgment for costs of suit; for such relief as is fair, just, and equitable; and for

- a. ☒ damages of: \$ 10 MILLION | FOR WELL OVER 3 1/2 YEARS + TNDC
- b. ☐ interest on the damages
- (1) ☒ according to proof
- (2) ☐ at the rate of (specify): _____ percent per year from (date).
- c. ☐ attorney's fees, LEGAL FEES ING PROGRAM JOINS IN OF THE
- (1) ☐ of: \$
- (2) ☒ according to proof. VERY OUTRAGEOUS INTENTION

d. ☒ other (specify): AS THE INFLECTION OF EMOTIONAL DISTRESS

11. The paragraphs of this pleading alleged on information and belief are as follows (specify paragraph numbers):

PATRICK + SARA CAMERO VELL AT ALL BLACKS "YOU FUCK-

Date: ING NIGGERS! IN FACT PATRICK FAGUNDES YELLED

OUT IN THE HALLWAY as follows: "I KILL YOU NIGGER -

BITCH! WELL OVER SEVERAL TIMES WHILE ASSAULTING ESTHER A

BLACK SKIN COLOR FEMALE TENANT. Sworn + Subscribed To

By Me Mr. Michael Decarlo Wright This 6TH Day of April

2017. MICHAEL DECARLO WRIGHT.

WRIGHT V.

TND C, CITY OF ST. MAYOR, DEPT. OF PUBLIC HEALTH, HERO PEST CONTROL CO. 1 TO 500 JOHN +

CASE NUMBER

JANE DOE DEFENDANTS.

CAUSE OF ACTION—Intentional Tort

Page

(number)

ATTACHMENT TO ☒ Complaint ☐ Cross - Complaint

(Use a separate cause of action form for each cause of action.)

IT-1. Plaintiff (name): Mr. Michael Lucarlo Wright + 1 TO 500 JOHN and JANE DOE PLAINTIFF'S ALL OF USTENANTS.

alleges that defendant (name):

STAFF + MANAGEMENT OF TND C, DEPT. OF PUBLIC HEALTH AND THE MAYOR OF CITY OF SAN FRANCISCO + MAYOR SUPPORTIVE HOUSING PROGRAM + TND C TENANT SERVICES STAFF OF MANAGEMENT - ☒ Does ONE to 500 AND CASE MANAGER WHO ARE PAID JOHN + JANE DOE DEF'S. TO PROVIDE SOCIAL WORKER

was the legal (proximate) cause of damages to plaintiff. By the following acts or omissions to act, defendant intentionally caused the damage to plaintiff

on (date): FROM APRIL 2013 TO PRESENT DATE FOR THE PASSat (place): ON GOING FOUR (4) YEARS ON GOING HARASS -(description of reasons for liability): MENT TAKING PLACE AT THE '172 UNIT APT. BUILDINGCALLED THE KELLY CULLEN COMMUNITY COMPLEX.

SERVICES TO THE PLAINTIFF MYSELF Mr. Michael Lucarlo Wright AND THE OTHER ON OR ABOUT 172 TENANTS WHO TOO HAVE DISABILITY, JUST LIKE MYSELF. FOR EXAMPLE TENANT SERVICES PROJECT COORDINATOR JA EUN HUH HARASSED THE SAID PLAINTIFF MYSELF Mr. Michael Lucarlo Wright well over several times by a means of: "STALKING ME LOCATED IN BUILDING WHERE I LIVE CAUSING ME THE PLAINTIFF Mr. Michael Lucarlo Wright to file a S.F. POLICE REPORT + HOUSE (IN HOUSE) COMPLAINT TOO. The above said TND C defendant JA EUN HUH has also told bold FACE LIES OF FRAUD pertaining to my performance, when I was IN FORCING - ONE of the RESTRAINING ORDERS AGAINST SARA CAMERO as I was ARESTING defendant TND C tenant SARA CAMERO her CO-TND C defendant JA EUN HUH LIED and said the SF POLICE INSTRUCTED ME THE PLAINTIFF Mr. M. B. Wright to STEP BACK + THAT I REFUSED, when the truth of the matter is the Plaintiff Mr. M. B. Wright told JA EUN HUH to STEP BACK + STAY OUT OF BUSINESS AS I PLACED SARA IN JAIL FOR VIOLATION OF CIVIL RESTRAINING ORDER with the Police.

Form Approved for Optional Use
Judicial Council of California

CAUSE OF ACTION—Intentional Tort

Code of Civil Procedure, § 425.12

PLD-PI-001(3) (Rev. January 1, 2007)

Sworn + Subscribed This 6TH WEST. Day of April
Year 2017, Be the Plaintiff Mr. Michael Lucarlo Wright

WRIGHTV.

TNDG MAYOR + CITY OF SE.
SUPPORTIVE HOUSING, DEPT.
OF PUBLIC HOUSING, HERO

PEST CONTROL

CAUSE OF ACTION—Premises Liability

Page

(number)

ATTACHMENT TO ☒ Complaint ☐ Cross - Complaint

(Use a separate cause of action form for each cause of action.)

Prem.L-1. Plaintiff (name):

MICHAEL DECARLO WRIGHT

alleges the acts of defendants were the legal (proximate) cause of damages to plaintiff.

On (date): 4.8.13 to present plaintiff was injured on the following premises in the following

fashion (description of premises and circumstances of injury):

well over several sets
of CIVIL RIGHTS VIOLATIONS BOTH STATE + FEDERAL LAWS of
THE CONSTITUTIONAL FRAUD, BREACH OF CONTRACT and
violation of CRIMINAL LAWS pertaining to FEDERAL
+ STATE SYSTEM THREAT OF TERRORIST-THREATS + BODY-
HARM INTENTIONAL INFLECTION OF EMOTIONAL DIS-
STRESS, VIOLATION OF AMERICAN DISABILITY ACT, BY

Prem.L-2. ☒ Count One—Negligence The defendants who negligently owned, maintained, managed and

A MEANES operated the described premises were (names): OF DEFENDANT STEVE LORD
YELLING AT THE TOP OF HIS VOICE AS FOLLOWS: "THAT FUCK-
ING NIGGER! MICHAEL WRIGHT! I'LL KICK THAT FUCK-
NIGGER Does ONE to 500 MICHAEL WRIGHT'S ASS!

Prem.L-3. ☒ Count Two—Willful Failure to Warn [Civil Code section 846] The defendant owners who willfully

THIS TYPE or maliciously failed to guard or warn against a dangerous condition, use, structure, or activity were OF IN
APT. COMPLEX (names): HAS TAKEN PLACE FOR YEARS INSIDE THE 172
BUILDING WHERE PLAINTIFF MYSELF Mr. Michael Decarlo
LIVES, PAYS RENT AND A TENANT, + HAS SIGNED
3 Wright Does ONE to 500 CONTRACT ALONG WITH TNDG
Plaintiff, a recreational user, was ☐ an invited guest ☐ a paying guest. EXECUTIVE -

Prem.L-4. ☒ Count Three—Dangerous Condition of Public Property The defendants who owned public property

DIRECTOR - on which a dangerous condition existed were (names): DON FALK + MAYOR ED LEE'S
OFFICE HOPE HOMELESS + SUPPORTIVE HOUSING PARTNERSHIP
DIRECTOR BEVEN DUFFY, THE CONTRACT EXPLAINS THAT TNDG
HAS ZERO TOL- ☐ Does to RANCE FOR VERBLE ABUSE
+ THREATS a. ☒ The defendant public entity had ☒ actual ☒ constructive notice of the existence of the
ALL TNDG - b. ☒ The condition was created by employees of the defendant public entity. OF VIOLENCE.

Prem.L-5. a. ☒ Allegations about Other Defendants The defendants who were the agents and employees of the

OF FORMS, other defendants and acted within the scope of the agency were (names): FILED, THE CITY ED -
LEE'S MAYOR'S OFFICE, DEPT. OF PUBLIC HEALTH, HUMMAN RIGHTS
COMMISSION, DIRECT HOUSING PROGRAM ALL DO NOTHING -
BUT FURTHER ☐ Does to COMPOUND THE HARASSMENT,

b. ☒ The defendants who are liable to plaintiffs for other reasons and the reasons for their liability are

+ RACIST and described in attachment Prem.L-5.b as follows (names): VERY BIAS + BIGOT
DISCRIMINATION BASED ON SKIN COLOR RACE ARE ALL NAMED ON
FIRST PAGE OF COMPLAINT + MORE DETAILED IN Mr. Wright's -
Exhibit VOLUMES #1, 2, 3, 4 and soon to be filed + attached

Page 1 of 1

Sworn and Sub-
cubed to This 6TH Day of April year - 2017 By Mr
Plaintiff Mr. Michael Decarlo Wright.

WRIGHT VS. TNOC MAYOR SUPPORTIVE HOUSING PROGRAM,
OF ST. DEPT. PUBLIC HEALTH,
HERODEST CONTROL ALL

IT TO 500 DEFENDANTS
CAUSE OF ACTION—General Negligence

(number)

ATTACHMENT TO ☒ Complaint ☐ Cross - Complaint

(Use a separate cause of action form for each cause of action.)

GN-1. Plaintiff (name): MICHAEL DECARLO WRIGHT

alleges that defendant (name): TNOC, MAYOR SUPPORTIVE HOUSING PROGRAM, DEPT. OF PUBLIC HEALTH EMPLOYEE STAFF + MANAGEMENT JOINED IN ON THE RACIST, BIAS + VERY BIGOT DISCRIMINATORY TREATMENT + PRACTICES - THAT I THE PLAINTIFF RECIEVED FROM THE VERY BIAS ☒ Does ONE to 500 BIGOT TENANT STEVE LORD, SARA CAMERO, BRAIN KIRTPATRICK BY A MEANES OF REFUSING
was the legal (proximate) cause of damages to plaintiff. By the following acts or omissions to act, defendant

negligently caused the damage to plaintiff
on (date): FROM THE FIRST WEEK OF MOVING INTO THE 172 UNIT
at (place): APARTMENT BUILDING COMPLEX THE KELLY CULLEN COMMUNITY THE PRESENT DATE STEVE LORD STILLS LIVES
(description of reasons for liability): THE BUILDING WHEN HE SHOULD BE EVICTED.

IN FORCE AND FOLLOW THE DOCUMENTED SIGNED CONTRACT
FACT THAT: "TNOC HAS ZERO TOLORANCE FOR THREATS OF -
VERBAL ABUSE + THREATS OF VIOLENCE, PLAINTIFF MYSELF

Mr. Michael Decarlo Wright HAS BEEN
NIGGER! NIGGER! YELLED AT
AND CALLED
YOU FUCKING
FUCK THAT FUCKING MICH-
AEL WRIGHT! THAT FUCKING
I'LL KICK
THAT
NIGGERS

ASS! Moreover well over several civil small-
claims court RESTRAINING ORDERS HAVE -
BEEN FILED - AGAINST DEFENDANT STEVE
LORD, IN FACT ONE OF THE ABOVE RESTRAIN-
ING ORDER RESULTED INTO TENANT TNOC

DEFENDANT STEVE LORD BEING PUT INTO ST. COUNTY JAIL!
THE ADDITIONAL CO DEFENDANT TNOC MANAGEMENT OF
THE APARTMENT BUILDING REFUSES TO EVICT THE NON
BLACK SKIN COLOR TENANT BECAUSE STEVE LORD'S SKIN
IS WHITE COLOR JUST THE DEFENDANTS WHO'S IN
CHARGE OF ALREADY SAID 172 UNIT APARTMENT BUILDING
COMPLEX. Sworn + subscribed To this 6th Day of

April Year 2017, By Mr. My WEST. Name
Mr. Michael Decarlo Wright.

SHORT TITLE:

WRIGHT V. TNDC MAYOR ED LEE CITY OF
ST. HOPE HOMELESS SUPPORT
HOUSING PROGRAM, DEPT. OF

PUBLIC HEALTH, HERO Exemplary Damages Attachment
PEST CONTROL CO.

Page

ATTACHMENT TO ☒ Complaint ☐ Cross - Complaint

EX-1. As additional damages against defendant (name): ALL DEFENDANTS WHO ARE -
LISTED + NAMED ON PAGE #1 OF THE LAW SUIT COMPLAINT
ARE ALL VERY RECKLESS DEMONSTRATING A TOTAL DISREGARD
FOR - Plaintiff alleges defendant was guilty of
the ☒ malice MY INTREST. Moreover everything that I
☒ fraud Plaintiff Mr. Michael D. Carbo Wright
is - ☒ oppression very respectfully complaining about
is all as defined in Civil Code section 3294, and plaintiff should recover, in addition to actual damages, damages
to make an example of and to punish defendant. further compounded by a
means of: "after following all rules of complaints
and hand serving and filing the complaints with
Management, Executives, Directors, further
HARASSMENT and DISCRIMINATION takes place.
For example just this pass Monday April 3,
2017 when I very respectfully asked the
defendant SAM DODGE for my on or about
100 Page Complaint of FACTS to be returned
back to me because of NOTHING was being
done to address the violation of my protected
RIGHTS, the BIGOT defendant SAM DODGE gave
me back my complaint with the 98 pages miss-
ing and - I well 50 section of pages missing
from the end section of my detailed Complaint.
and when I confronted the said WHITE SKIN COLOR
MAYOR'S OFFICE OF SUPPORTIVE HOUSING DIRECTOR SAM
DODGE smiled and said: "THATS WHAT YOU ASKED FOR!
AND THAT'S YOUR HAND WRITING! Further I was also
told by defendant SAM DODGE that: "I think you
should work out your complaint with TNDC further
Harassing Me because he SAM DODGE was suppose
to be - Investigating my complaint + talk to
witnesses + other tenants who were + being violated

EX-3. The amount of exemplary damages sought is

2.5 MILLION. | NOTE: - JEFF -
KOSISKY | a. ☒ not shown, pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure section 425.10. the Director
of the | b. ☒ \$ 1.5 MILLION FOR FURTHER PUNISHMENT. | 28 Sept. Of the
Homeless + Supportive Housing has performed in the -
Page 1 of 1

Form Approved for Optional Use
Judicial Council of California
PLD-PI-001(6) [Rev. January 1, 2007]

Exemplary Damages Attachment manner I was told
he would come to apt. Building in - WEST. December 2016
+ talk to witnesses, he DID NOT! He too refuses to return
my requested complaint, he refuses to check EVICTION record of
STEVE LORO.